

Exam Problem 2c: $\alpha = \inf A$, $\exists \{a_n\} \subseteq A$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \alpha$.

Common Mistake: $\forall n, \exists a_n \in A$, $a_n \neq \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$.

Counter Example: $A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n + \sqrt{2}} : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$. $\inf A = 0$

There doesn't exist any $a \in A$ s.t. $a = \frac{1}{n}$

b/c all denominators in A are irrational !!

Correct: $\forall n, \exists a_n \in A$, s.t. $a_n < \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$.

Sol'n: $\alpha = \inf A \Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in A$, s.t. $a < \alpha + \varepsilon$
($\forall \varepsilon > 0, \alpha + \varepsilon$ is not a lower bound)

For $\varepsilon = 1$, $\exists a_1 \in A$, $a_1 < \alpha + 1$

$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $\exists a_2 \in A$, $a_2 < \alpha + \frac{1}{2}$

\vdots

$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$, $\exists a_n \in A$, $a_n < \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$.

Also notice that $a_n \in A \Rightarrow a_n \geq \alpha$.

$\Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \leq a_n < \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$

Squeeze Lemma $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \alpha$

Problem 3b. (i) $f(x) = \frac{x}{x-1}$ does not have a limit at $x=1$.

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{x-1}.$$

Pick $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$, $f(x_n) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} - 1} = 1 + n$.

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f(x_n) \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $\{f(x_n)\}$ is unbounded.

So $\{f(x_n)\}$ diverges. $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \text{ DNE} \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) \text{ DNE}$

Recall: Function Limits in terms of sequence.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow \forall \{x_n\} \subseteq D, x_n \rightarrow c, x_n \neq c \Rightarrow f(x_n) \rightarrow L$$

Argue by Cauchy's Criterion:

Recall: $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ exists $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x, y \in (c - \delta, c + \delta) \setminus \{x_0\}$.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

Rmk: Cauchy's criterion is handy when we have no information about the limit

Need to show: $\exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists x, y \in (1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus \{1\}$.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Pick $\varepsilon = 1, \forall \delta > 0$, Pick $x = 1 + \frac{1}{n}, y = 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}$.

where n is an integer, s.t. $\frac{1}{n} < \delta$.

$$\frac{1}{n} < \delta \Rightarrow 1 + \frac{1}{n} < 1 + \delta \Rightarrow x \in (1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus \{1\}$$

$$\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n} < \delta \Rightarrow y = 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \in (1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus \{1\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &= \left| 1 + \frac{1}{x-1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{y-1}\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} - 1} - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n+1} - 1} \right| \\ &= |n - (n+1)| = 1 \geq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Digression: Why $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges

Recall: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges \Leftrightarrow The sequence $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges.

Recall: $\{a_n\}$ converges $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall m, n > N, |a_m - a_n| < \varepsilon$.

Need to see what $S_n - S_m$ is ($n > m$)

$$S_n - S_m = \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(m+2)^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Notice: $\frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{k-1} = \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{k}$.

$$S_n - S_m < \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m+1} + \frac{1}{m+1} - \frac{1}{m+2} + \frac{1}{m+2} - \frac{1}{m+3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}$$

TELESCOPING SUM

$$= \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, pick $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. then $\forall m, n > N$ with $n > m$.

$$|S_n - S_m| = \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \{S_n\} \text{ converges} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ converges.}$$

Problem 3b.ii. $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \\ x & x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ does not have a limit at any $c \neq 0$
has a limit at $c = 0$.

For $c \neq 0$. Use sequential criterion to show $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ DNE.

Pick $\{r_n\} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $r_n \rightarrow c$, $r_n \neq c$. Pick $\{s_n\} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $s_n \rightarrow c$, $s_n \neq c$.

Why can I choose such a sequence?

Recall: Density Thm of Rationals: $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, $\exists r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $r \in (a, b)$
Density Thm of Irrationals: $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, $\exists s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $s \in (a, b)$

Proofs available in both my notes and "Understanding Analysis".

For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, consider the interval $(c - \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n})$

$$\text{Density Thm} \Rightarrow \exists r_n \in \mathbb{Q}, r_n \in (c - \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n}).$$

$$s_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, s_n \in (c - \frac{1}{n}, c + \frac{1}{n}).$$

$$\text{For } n=1, \Rightarrow r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}, s_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad r_1 \in (c-1, c+1) \\ s_1 \in (c-1, c+1)$$

$$\text{For } n=2, \Rightarrow r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}, s_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad r_2 \in (c-\frac{1}{2}, c+\frac{1}{2}) \\ s_2 \in (c-\frac{1}{2}, c+\frac{1}{2})$$

: : :

$$\text{For } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \Rightarrow r_n \in \mathbb{Q}, s_n \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad r_n \in (c-\frac{1}{n}, c+\frac{1}{n}) \\ s_n \in (c-\frac{1}{n}, c+\frac{1}{n})$$

\Rightarrow sequences $\{r_n\}$ in \mathbb{Q} , $\{s_n\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

$$r_n \rightarrow c, s_n \rightarrow c.$$

$$\text{But } c \neq 0, f(r_n) = r_n \rightarrow c \neq 0$$

$$f(s_n) = 0 \rightarrow 0$$

So two seq. $\{r_n\}, \{s_n\} \rightarrow c$, w/ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(r_n) \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(s_n)$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \text{ DNE.}$$

Exercise: Try to argue by Cauchy's criterion.

Hint: ε can be taken to be a multiple of c , say $\frac{1}{2}c$.

$$\forall \delta > 0, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q}, s \notin \mathbb{Q}, r, s \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$$

$$|f(r) - f(s)| = |r - 0| > \max(|c-\delta|, |c+\delta|)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}c \text{ if } \delta < \frac{1}{2}c.$$

$c=0$. $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ exists and is 0.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, pick $\delta = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, then for any $x \in (0-\delta, 0+\delta) \setminus \{0\}$.

$$\text{if } x \notin \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow |f(x) - 0| < \varepsilon$$

$$x \in \mathbb{Q}, f(x) = x \Rightarrow |f(x) - 0| = |x| < \delta = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon < \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0 \quad (\text{by definition}).$$

$$\text{Problem 3b.(iii)} \quad f(x) = x \left(\sin \frac{1}{x} \right)^2, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0.$$

Recall: If $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = 0$, $g(x)$ is bounded near c .

$$(\exists M \geq 0, \exists \delta > 0, \text{ s.t. } |g(x)| \leq M, \\ \forall x \in (c - \delta, c + \delta).)$$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)g(x) = 0.$$

$$x \rightarrow 0, \quad \left(\sin \frac{1}{x} \right)^2 \leq 1, \quad \forall x \text{ near } 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \left(\sin \frac{1}{x} \right)^2 = 0.$$

Exercise: Prove the statement in purple by yourself.

Example: $f(x) = \frac{2x}{3x-1}$, Verify by definition that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 1$.

$$f(x) - 1 = \frac{2x}{3x-1} - 1 = \frac{2x - 3x + 1}{3x-1} = \frac{-x+1}{3x-1}$$

$$|f(x) - 1| = \frac{|x-1|}{|3x-1|}$$

Since $x \rightarrow 1$, $3x-1 \rightarrow 2$. i.e., I can find some δ , s.t.

$$x \in (1-\delta, 1+\delta) \setminus \{1\}, \quad 3x-1 \geq 1$$

δ can be chosen $\frac{1}{3}$.

For $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\forall x \in (1 - \delta_1, 1 + \delta_1) \setminus \{1\}$.

$$3x - 1 > 3 \cdot (1 - \delta_1) - 1 = 3 \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{3}) - 1 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x) - 1| = \frac{|x-1|}{3x-1} < \frac{|x-1|}{1}$$

If $\delta_2 < \varepsilon$, then $x \in (1 - \delta_2, 1 + \delta_2) \setminus \{1\}$, $|x-1| < \varepsilon$.

So for $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, both inequalities hold. i.e. $x \in (1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus \{1\}$

$$|f(x) - 1| = \frac{|x-1|}{|3x-1|} = \frac{|x-1|}{3x-1} < \frac{|x-1|}{1} < \varepsilon.$$

Rmk. Inequalities are central to analysis.